

# Susceptibility to HIV-1 integrase inhibitors in HIV-1 sub-subtype A6 isolates

Alessia Giannini<sup>1</sup>, Federica Giammarino<sup>1</sup>, Anastasiia Antonova<sup>2</sup>, Ekaterina Ozhmegova<sup>2</sup>, Rolf Kaiser<sup>3</sup>, Filippo Dragoni<sup>1</sup>, Adele Boccuto<sup>1</sup>, Ilaria Vicenti<sup>1</sup>, Anders Sönnerborg<sup>4,5</sup>, Marina Bobkova<sup>2</sup>, Maurizio Zazzi<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Saladini<sup>1</sup>

1) Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Italy; 2) Gamaleya National Research Center of Epidemiology, Moscow, Russian Federation; 3) Institute of Virology, University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and

University Hospital of Cologne, Germany; 4) Division of Clinical Microbiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden. 5) Department of Medicine Huddinge, Division of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden.

## Introduction/Summary

- Regimens based on second generation integrase inhibitors (INSTIs) such as dolutegravir (DTG) and bictegravir (BIC) are currently recommended as the preferred first-line HIV-1 therapy.
- O Considering the recent introduction of second generation INSTIs in the Russian Federation, this study aimed to evaluate the natural susceptibility of INSTIs in viral strains belonging to sub-subtype A6, which is the most prevalent genetic variant circulating in Russia and surrounding countries.

#### Materials and methods

## **Results – 2**

O None of the fifteen A6 sequences harbored major INSTIs RAMs while 14/15 (93%) sequences harbored the L74I variant, which is the consensus aminoacid in subtype A and found to be weakly selected in patient under INSTI therapy with no impact on INSTIs susceptibility when alone (**figure 2**).

•						.11							.1			
	10	20	30	40 5	50	60	70	80	90	100	110		120	130	140	
consensus_B	FLDGIDKAQEEHEKYHSM	WRANASDFN	LPPVVAKEIVA	SCDKCQLKGEAN	MHGQ V <b>DC</b> SP	GIWQLDCTH	EGKIII	VAVHVASGYI	AEVIPA	ETGQETAYFL	LKLAGRWPV	TIHTDNG	SNFTST	TVKAACWW	AGIKQEFGIP	PYNP
consensus_A6	E	K					V.I					VV	Ρ	6 <b>A</b>	.N.Q	
149554	N.						V.I			<b> X</b>	X	VV	Ρ	SA	.D.Q	
151232		K					I	IX	D			VV	P	SA	.D.Q	
152028	D				<b> X</b> .	V	V.I					VV	Ρ	6A	.N.Q	
152092	E											V	Ρ	SA	.NVQ	
150810	D						v.					vv	P M			
152090							V.I					vv	Ρ	SA	.N.Q	
153130		К					V.I					vv	P M	A	.N.QV.	
152139			XI				<b>v</b> .I					vv	P	A	.N.O	
149946		. К										vv	P	A	.D.O	
153133		. К					V. I					VV	P 9	A	D.O	
153684	E. D.	К	XI				V. 1					VV	P 9	A		
153685		ĸ		X		X	V.		X			VV	Ρ	A	N X0	
153686	N. N.			X			V.			x		VV.	P. (	P	D.O. X.	
152688	F	ĸ				v	Δ.				F	v	P 9	4	N O	
153680	N	ĸ			• • • • • • • • • •		v					VX	P 9	Δ	N O	
153650					• • • • • • • • • •							• • • • • • • •				
											1	1				•••
	150 1	60 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	190	20	21	0	220	230	240	250	260		270	280	•
consensus B	<ul> <li>150 10</li> <li>QSQGVVESMNKELK</li> </ul>	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA	L70 18 Ehlktavqnav	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 CALCENT	D 21	NELQKQ	220	230 RDSRDPL	240	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 201 SD1 KVVP	RRKAKI	270 IRDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	'' DED
consensus_B	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA	L70 18 EHLKTAVQNAV	0 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 Gysageri	D 21	O Kelqkq	220 ITKIQNFRVYY	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI	RRKAKI	270 IRDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	'' <u>●</u> DED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554	↓ 150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA	L70 18 AEHLKTAVQNAV	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGERI	0 21 VDIIATDIQT	O Kelqkq	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 IRDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E.	L70 18 EHLKTAVQNAV	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	20 GYSAGERI	0 21 VDIIATDIQT	O Kelqkq	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 IRDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	DED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E.	L70 18 EHLKTAVQWAV	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGERI	0 21 VDIIATDIQT	O KELQKQ	220   TK QNFRVYY	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI NN.	RRKAKI	270 IRDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E	L70 18 EHLKTAVQNAV	190 FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGER I	D 21	0 KELQKQ	220   TKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N	RRKAKI	270 I RDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E. E. E.	L70 18 EHLKTAVQVAV	I HN FKRKGGI G	200 GYSAGERI	D 21 VDIIATDIQT	O KELQKQ	220   TKI QN FRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N N N	RRKAKI	270 I RDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E	L70 18 EHLKTAVQNAV	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGER I	D 21	OKELQKQ	220   TKIQNFRVYY  	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	RRKAKI	270 I RD Y GKQN A	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.	L70 18 EHLKTAVQVAV	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	20 GYSAGER I	D 21 VDIIATDIQT	O KELQKQ	220   TKI QN FRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 I RD Y GKQWA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E.	L70 18 EHLKTAVQWAV	I HN FKRKGGI G	200 GYSAGERI	D 21 VDIIATDIQT	O KELQKQ	220   TK   QN FRVYY  	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N	RRKAKI	270 I RDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E E E	L70 18	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGER I	D 21	O KELQKQ	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 I RD Y GKQWA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E E E E	EHL KTA VQNAV	I HN FKRKGGI G	200 GYSAGERI	D 21 VDIIATDIQT	O KELQKQ	220   T K I QN FR V Y Y 	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 I RD YGKQWA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133 153684	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E.	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	I HN FKRKGGI G	200 GYSAGERI	D 21	O KELQKQ	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N	RKAKI	270 I RDYGKQNA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133 153684 153685	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E E E E E E E E	ТО 18 EHL KTA VQNA V	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	200 GYSAGER I	D 21	O KELQKQ	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RRKAKI	270 I RD YGKQWA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133 153684 153685 153685	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	ТО 18 EHL KTA VQNA V	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	200 GYSAGERI E	D 21	O KELQKQ	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N	RKAKI		280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133 153684 153685 153686 153688	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	ТО 18 EHL KTA VQNA V	I HN FKRKGGI G	200 GYSAGERI E	D 21	O KELQKQ	220 ITKIQNFRVYYI	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N N N N N N N	RKAKI		280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED
consensus_B consensus_A6 149554 151232 152028 152092 150810 152090 153130 152139 149946 153133 153684 153685 153685 153686 153688	150 10 QSQGVVESMNKELK	60 1 KIIGQVRDQA E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E. E.	ТО 18 EHL KTA VQNA V	30 190 /FIHNFKRKGGIG	E	D 21	O KELQKQ	220   TKIQNFRVYY  	230 RDSRDPL	240 WKGPAKLLWK	250 GEGAVVIQDN	260 SDIKVVPI N	RKAKI	270 I RD YGKQWA	280 GDDCVASRQI	D ED

- O The identification of plasma samples harboring viral strains belonging to sub-subtype A6 was carried out through phylogenetic analysis of HIV-1 sequences generated during routine HIV-1 drug resistance testing at the HIV monitoring laboratory in Siena, Italy. Viral sequences assigned to subtype A according to sequence homology were aligned with representative sequences of each subtype including A6 retrieved from the HIV Database of the Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Phylogenetic analysis was performed with Mega 7 software.
- Plasma samples harboring viral strains assigned to sub-subtype A6 were used for the generation of NL4-3 based recombinant viruses carrying patient derived integrase coding region.
- In vitro susceptibility to the INSTIs raltegravir (RAL), DTG, BIC and cabotegravir (CAB) was determined through a TZM-bl cell line based phenotypic assay as described previously<sup>1</sup> and fold-change (FC) values were calculated with respect to the IC<sub>50</sub> value obtained with the wild-type NL4-3 strain.

### **Results – 1**

O Twenty out of eighty-one (24.7%) viral sequences originally labelled as subtype A were assigned to subsubtype A6 (figure 1). Residual plasma available in eight cases was successfully used for the construction of recombinant viruses in addition to two Figure 2. Alignment of aminoacidic sequences of integrase coding region from 15 A6 sub-subtype samples. "X" indicates mixed viral populations.

#### **Results – 3**

Median FC values for RAL, DTG, BIC and CAB were 1.2 (IQR 1.0-1.7), 1.1 (IQR 0.9-1.4), 0.8 (IQR 0.7-1.1), and 1.0 (IQR 0.6-1.2), respectively. According to the available biological or clinical FC cut-offs established by the reference Phenosense Assay, all FC values calculated for RAL and DTG were below the FC threshold associated with reduced susceptibility.

Table 1 Mean half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values calculated with A6 recombinant viruses against INSTI raltegravir (RAL), dolutegravir (DTG), bictegravir (BIC) and cabotegravir (CAB). Fold-change (FC) values are calculated as compared to the IC<sub>50</sub> value calculated with the HIV-1 wild-type strain NL4-3.

	RAL		DTG		BIC		CAB		
ID	$IC_{50} \pm SD nM$	FC							
149554	$6.6 \pm 1.0$	1.1	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	1.4	$1.6 \pm 1.0$	1.0	$0.4 \pm 0.3$	0.4	
151232	$7.6 \pm 3.2$	1.2	$1.4 \pm 0.6$	1.2	$1.6 \pm 1.4$	0.9	$1.4 \pm 0.7$	1.4	
152028	$4.4 \pm 1.7$	0.7	$1.8 \pm 0.8$	1.5	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	0.5	$1.4 \pm 0.7$	1.4	
152092	$12.6 \pm 5.4$	2.1	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	0.8	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	1.2	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	0.6	
150810	$12.1 \pm 3.8$	2.0	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	1.5	$1.2 \pm 0.7$	0.7	1.2 ± 1.1	1.2	

and five A6 samples received from the Institute of Virology of Cologne and Gamaleya Center of Moscow, respectively.



152090	$11.1 \pm 1.8$	1.8	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	1.4	$1.1 \pm 0.5$	0.6	$1.2 \pm 0.6$	1.2
153130	$9.9 \pm 4.8$	1.6	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	0.9	$4.0 \pm 1.7$	2.4	$1.1 \pm 0.5$	1.1
152139	$7.8 \pm 3.0$	1.3	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	0.8	$2.6 \pm 1.0$	1.5	$1.0 \pm 0.5$	1.0
149946	$9.6 \pm 5.1$	1.6	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	0.6	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	0.4	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	1.1
153133	$10.3 \pm 5.8$	1.7	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	1.1	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	0.7	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	1.4
153684	$6.5 \pm 1.3$	1.1	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	1.1	$1.8 \pm 0.3$	1.1	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	0.9
153685	$5.6 \pm 2.8$	0.9	$2.5 \pm 0.4$	2.1	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	1.1	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	1.0
153686	$6.3 \pm 2.8$	1.0	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	1.1	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	0.7	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	0.8
153688	$7.2 \pm 3.9$	1.2	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	0.9	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	0.7	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	0.4
153690	$3.3 \pm 1.7$	0.5	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	1.0	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	0.8	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	0.6

In bold FC above the biological or clinical cut-offs (RAL 1.5 – biological; DTG lower 4, upper 13 – clinical; BIC lower 2.5, upper 10 – clinical, estimated; CAB not available)

# Conclusion

- Re-analysis of viral sequences originally assigned to subtype A revealed the presence of A6 sub-subtype strains in nearly 25% of cases.
- The A6 sequences considered in this study did not include major INSTI RAMs, while all but one sequences include the L74I variant, which is the consensus aminoacid in A6 subtype.
- All the recombinant viruses showed FC values indicating full susceptibility to RAL, DTG, BIC and CAB, except for 6 viruses with FC values associated with a possible minimal reduction in RAL susceptibility.
- O Based on this panel of recombinant viruses, phenotypic susceptibility to INSTI in sub-subtype A6 does not appear to differ from that of the reference subtype B NL4-3 virus. Further analysis are required to test the genetic barrier to resistance to INSTI and the role of A6 genetic background outside the integrase coding region to conclude that sub-subtype A6 does not increase the risk of failure of INSTI based therapy.

#### Reference





